| SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| THE LAW FIRM OF HUGH H. MO, P.C., | C N 1.20 07077 AVII |
| Plaintiff, | Case No.: 1:20-cv-07077-AKH |
| V. | ANSWER |
| NG LAP SENG ALSO KNOWN AS DAVID NG, | ANSWER |
| Defendant. | |

Ng Lap Seng a/k/a David Ng ("Ng" or "Defendant"), by and through his undersigned attorneys Cohen Tauber Spievack & Wagner P.C., as and for his answer to the Complaint of the Law Firm of Hugh H. Mo, P.C. (the "Mo Firm" or "Plaintiff"), avers as follows:

INTRODUCTION

- 1. Denies the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph 1 of the Complaint.
- 2. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 2 of the Complaint and refers to the referenced written agreements for their terms.

THE PARTIES

- 3. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph 3 of the Complaint.
 - 4. Admits.

LINITED STATES DISTRICT COLIRT

5. Admits and refers to the referenced Court Order for its terms.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

6. Paragraph 6 of the Complaint sets forth legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the allegations are denied.

7. Paragraph 7 of the Complaint sets forth legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, the allegations are denied.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 8. Admits that Ng was arrested on October 6, 2015 and refers to the documents referenced in paragraph 8 of the Complaint for their contents.
- 9. Ng denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 9 of the Complaint and refers to the Initial Retainer for its terms.
- 10. Ng denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 10 of the Complaint and refers to the Initial Retainer for its terms.
- 11. Ng denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 11 of the Complaint and refers to the Initial Retainer for its terms.
- 12. Ng neither admits nor denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 12 of the Complaint, and refers to the Court documents for their terms.
 - 13. Admits.
 - 14. Admits that Ng paid the Mo Firm \$1,000,000.00.
- 15. Admits that Ng signed a Supplemental Agreement and refers to that document for its terms.
 - 16. Admits that Ng paid the Mo Firm \$2,000,000.00.
- 17. Ng denies that the fixed legal fee was solely for the purpose of the trial phase and refers to the Supplemental Agreement for its terms.
- 18. Admits that Ng provided a list of items for his attorneys to familiarize themselves with and refers to the document referenced therein for its contents.
 - 19. Denied.

- 20. Deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in paragraph 20 of the Complaint.
- 21. Deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in paragraph 21 of the Complaint.
 - 22. Denied.
 - 23. Denied.
- 24. Deny knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations set forth in paragraph 24 of the Complaint.
 - 25. Denied.
- 26. Admits that Ng hired Dinh, a partner at K&E and paid K&E legal fees, and except as so admitted, denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 26 of the Complaint.
 - 27. Admits.
 - 28. Admits.
- 29. Admits that Ng made payments to the Mo Firm in the amount of \$1 million, \$600,000.00, \$300,000.00, and \$100,000.00 but except as so admitted, denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 29 of the Complaint.
- 30. Admits that Ng made a payment to the Mo Firm in the amount of \$100,000 but except as so admitted, denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 30 of the Complaint.
- 31. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 31 of the Complaint and refers to the document for its contents.
- 32. Admits that Ng paid the Mo Firm millions of dollars, but except as so admitted, denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 32 of the Complaint.

- 33. Denies the allegations set forth in paragraph 33 of the Complaint, but admits that Ng acknowledged that the Mo Firm claimed he owned it money.
- 34. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to from a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph 34 of the Complaint and refers to the documents referenced therein for their contents.
 - 35. Admits.
- 36. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to from a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph 36 of the Complaint.
- 37. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to from a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph 37 of the Complaint.
 - 38. Admits.
- 39. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to from a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph 39 of the Complaint.
- 40. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to from a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph 40 of the Complaint and refers to the document referenced therein for its contents.
- 41. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to from a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph 41 of the Complaint and refers to the document referenced therein for its contents.
- 42. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to from a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph 42 of the Complaint and refers to the documents referenced therein for their contents.

- 43. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to from a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph 43 of the Complaint and refers to the documents referenced therein for their contents.
- 44. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to from a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph 44 of the Complaint and refers to the documents referenced therein for their contents.
- 45. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to from a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph 45 of the Complaint and refers to the documents referenced therein for their contents.
- 46. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to from a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph 46 of the Complaint and refers to the documents referenced therein for their contents.
- 47. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to from a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph 47 of the Complaint and refers to the documents referenced therein for their contents.
- 48. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to from a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph 48 of the Complaint and refers to the documents referenced therein for their contents.
- 49. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to from a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph 49 of the Complaint and refers to the documents referenced therein for their contents.

- 50. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to from a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph 50 of the Complaint and refers to the documents referenced therein for their contents.
- 51. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to from a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph 51 of the Complaint.
- 52. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to from a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph 52 of the Complaint.
 - 53. Denied.
- 54. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to from a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph 54 of the Complaint.
- 55. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to from a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph 55 of the Complaint and refers to the document referenced therein for its terms.
 - 56. Denied.
- 57. Admits that Ng retained J.T. Shulman to perform an audit but except as so admitted, denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph 57 of the Complaint.
- 58. Denies knowledge or information sufficient to from a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph 58 of the Complaint.
 - 59. Denied.
 - 60. Denied.
 - 61. Denied.

62. Admits that Ng has not paid the claimed \$1.9 million but except as so admitted, denied.

| | | FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (Breach of Contract) |
|--------|-----|--|
| | 63. | Ng repeats and realleges the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein. |
| | 64. | Ng respectfully refers all matter of law to the Court. |
| | 65. | Denied. |
| | 66. | Admits that the Mo Firm demanded payment but except as so admitted, denied. |
| | 67. | Admits that Ng has not paid the claimed \$1.9 million but except as so admitted, |
| denied | l. | |
| | 68. | Denied. |
| | 69. | Denied. |
| | | SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION (Account Stated) |
| | 70. | Ng repeats and realleges the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein. |
| | 71. | Denied. |
| | 72. | Denied. |
| | 73. | Denied. |
| | 74. | Admits that Ng made payments to the Mo Firm and, except as so admitted, |
| denied | l. | |
| | 75. | Denied. |
| | 76. | Denied. |
| | 77. | Denied. |
| | 78. | Denied. |

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION (Unjust Enrichment)

- 79. Ng repeats and realleges the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 80. Admits that the Mo Firm provided some services.
- 81. Denied.
- 82. Denied.
- 83. Denied.
- 84. Denied.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Quantum Meruit)

- 85. Ng repeats and realleges the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 86. Admits that the Mo Firm provided some services.
- 87. Denied.
- 88. Admits that a demand has been made.
- 89. Denied.
- 90. Denied.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

AS AND FOR A FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

91. Plaintiff's claims are barred because they fail to state a claim upon which can be granted.

AS AND FOR A SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

92. Plaintiff's claims are barred in whole or in part because Plaintiff failed to perform its obligations under the various retainer agreements.

AS AND FOR A THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

93. Plaintiff's claims are barred in whole or in part because of the doctrine of "unclean hands."

WHEREFORE, Ng seeks a judgment as follows:

- (i) dismissing Plaintiff's claims in their entirety;
- (ii) awarding Ng costs and fees; and
- (iii) all other relief that this Court deems just and proper.

Dated: December 4, 2020

COHEN TAUBER SPIEVACK & WAGNER P.C.

By: /s/ Stephen Wagner

Stephen Wagner Sari Kolatch 420 Lexington Avenue, Suite 2400

New York, New York 10170

Tel.: (212) 586-5800 swagner@ctswlaw.com skolatch@ctswlaw.com

Attorneys for Ng Lap Seng